Printed in the United States of America All rights reserved Copyright © 1989 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College

Chapters 1 and 11 are by Bruce Merry and are reprinted with the permiswith the author. Chapter 11 is from Quindici. The English translations of definizione dell'arte; they appear here in English translation by arrangement and 1968, respectively. Chapter 7 is from Lettere italiane; Chapter 8, from La from Apocalitici e integrati and La struttura assente, respectively; D. G. uppo Chapters 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 are from Opera aperla; Chapters 9 and 10 are sion of Twentieth-Century Studies. Editoriale Fabbri, Bompiani, Sonzogno, Etas S.p.A., Milan, @ 1962, 1964,

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Eco, Umberto.

[Opera aperta, English]

by David Robey. The open work / Umberto Eco; translated by Anna Cancogni: with an introduction

Translation of: Opera aperta.

Bibliography: p. Includes index.

ISBN 0-674-63975-8 (alk. paper)

15BN 0-674-63976-6 (pbk.: alk. paper)

1. Aesthetics, 2. Poetry. 3. Semiotics. 4. Joyce, James, 1882–1941—Criticism and interpretation. 5. Wiener, Norbert, 1894–1964. I. Title.

вн39. E2913 1989 88-21399

111'.85-dc19

Γhe Open Work

Umberto Eco

Translated by Anna Cancogni

by David Robey With an Introduction

HARVARD UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, Massachusetts

TO SAME

the general public would be in a position to develop a private matters of musical presentation and duration could emerge. musical construct of its own and a new collective sensibility in chaser had a sufficiently sophisticated reception apparatus, then marketable product: if they were tape-recorded and the purout of the question that we conceive these formal notations as a so as to present a more complex structural polyphony. It is not which begin on the same motif can be played simultaneously, are made available to him. Furthermore, the two sections one section, a considerable number of sequential permutations same climax. Since the performer can start or finish with any pair of sections, on the contrary, tends to develop towards the sixteen sections. Each of these can be linked to any two others, motifs (after which they evolve in divergent patterns); another cess. Two of its sections, for example, are introduced by similar without weakening the logical continuity of the musical pro-

4. In Pierre Boulez's Third Sonata for Piano, the first section (Antiphonie, Formant 1) is made up of ten different pieces on ten corresponding sheets of music paper. These can be arranged in different mutations are permissible. The second part (Formant 2, Thrope) is former can commence with an internal circularity, so that the perto the others until he comes round full circle. No major interpretative variants are permitted inside the various sections, but one of lowed by extensive pauses in which the beat is left to the player's instructions on the manner of linking one piece to the next (for example, sans retenir, enchaîner sans interruption, and so on).

What is immediately striking in such cases is the macroscopic divergence between these forms of musical communication and the time-honored tradition of the classics. This difference can be formulated in elementary terms as follows: a classical composition, whether it be a Bach fugue, Verdi's Aida, or Stravinsky's Rite of Spring, posits an assemblage of sound units which the composer arranged in a closed, well-defined manner before presenting it to

the listener. He converted his idea into conventional symbols which more or less oblige the eventual performer to reproduce the format devised by the composer himself, whereas the new musical works tiply the formal possibilities of the distribution of their elements. They appeal to the initiative of the individual performer, and hence they offer themselves not as finite works which prescribe specific which are brought to their conclusion by the performer at the same time as he experiences them on an aesthetic plane.

imaginative driver, it merely ceases to be that particular traffic sign sense, and, if it is transfigured into some fantastic meaning by an road traffic sign, on the other hand, can be viewed in only one resonances and echoes without impairing its original essence; a can be viewed and understood. These give it a wealth of different proportion to the number of different perspectives from which it the form of the work of art gains its aesthetic validity precisely in ways modified by his particular and individual perspective. In fact, prejudices. Thus, his comprehension of the original artifact is alhis own, a defined culture, a set of tastes, personal inclinations, and existential credentials, the sense conditioning which is peculiarly patterning, the individual addressee is bound to supply his own it. As he reacts to the play of stimuli and his own response to their should be appreciated and received in the same form as he devised finished product with the intention that this particular composition sensitive reception of the piece. In this sense the author presents a stimulus and response which depends on his unique capacity for by the author. The addressee is bound to enter into an interplay of individual addressee can refashion the original composition devised arrange a sequence of communicative effects in such a way that each work of art: we see it as the end product of an author's effort to standard situation of which we are all aware in our reception of a nection with a given work of art. These two expressions refer to a recourse to the notions of "completeness" and "openness" in concations of this term. Aesthetic theorists, for example, often have former, still requires to be separated from other conventional applithat here the definition of the "open work," despite its relevance in formulating a fresh dialectics between the work of art and its per-To avoid any confusion in terminology, it is important to specify

with that particular meaning. A work of art, therefore, is a complete and closed form in its uniqueness as a balanced organic whole, while at the same time constituting an open product on account of its susceptibility to countless different interpretations which do not impinge on its unadulterable specificity. Hence, every reception of a work of art is both an interpretation and a performance of it, because in every reception the work takes on a fresh perspective for itself.

Nonetheless, it is obvious that works like those of Berio and Stockhausen are "open" in a far more tangible sense. In primitive terms we can say that they are quite literally "unfinished": the author seems to hand them on to the performer more or less like the components of a construction kit. He seems to be unconcerned about the manner of their eventual deployment. This is a loose and paradoxical interpretation of the phenomenon, but the most immediately striking aspect of these musical forms can lead to this kind of uncertainty, although the very fact of our uncertainty is itself a positive feature: it invites us to consider why the contemporary artist feels the need to work in this kind of direction, to try to work out what historical evolution of aesthetic sensibility led up to it and which factors in modern culture reinforced it. We are then in a position to surmise how these experiences should be viewed in the spectrum of a theoretical aesthetics.

scribes the organization of the work in hand.2 At this point one being influenced by an external necessity which definitively pretions, among which he chooses to set up his own form without and place him at the focal point of a network of limitless interrelaencourage "acts of conscious freedom" on the part of the performer Pousseur has observed that the poetics of the "open" work tends to ciated unless the performer somehow reinvents it in psychological not passed on to the addressee in an unfinished state, demands a already introduced in this essay) that any work of art, even if it is could object (with reference to the wider meaning of "openness" collaboration with the author himself. Yet this remark represents only after painstaking consideration of the function of artistic perthe theoretical perception of contemporary aesthetics, achieved free, inventive response, if only because it cannot really be apprebeing aware of these issues. Instead nowadays it is primarily the formance; certainly an artist of a few centuries ago was far from

artist who is aware of its implications. In fact, rather than submit to the "openness" as an inescapable element of artistic interpretation, he subsumes it into a positive aspect of his production, recasting the work so as to expose it to the maximum possible "opening."

devices for the observer's attention to focus on. the author of the work had prescribed, by providing various visual he looked at the figure in the only possible right way—that is, the way sions to the actual location of the observer in order to ensure that various devices of perspective were just so many different concesagainst the "openness" of the work, to favor its "closing out." The equally certain that this awareness has led to a tendency to operate server. Vitruvius makes a distinction between "symmetry" and rative arts. In the Sophist Plato observes that painters suggest prowriters, especially when they set themselves to consider the figuterpretative subjectivity pitted against the work of art. Yet it is bears witness to the gradual maturation of this awareness of an inscientific and practical development of the technique of perspective tive proportions to the requirements of a subjective vision. The "eurhythmy," meaning by this latter term an adjustment of objecthem in relation to the angle from which they are seen by the obportions not by following some objective canon but by judging addressee and the work as an objective fact) was noticed by classical work of art (any interpretation implies an interplay between the The force of the subjective element in the interpretation of a

Let us consider another example. In the Middle Ages there grew up a theory of allegory which posited the possibility of reading the Scriptures (and eventually poetry, figurative arts) not just in the literal sense but also in three other senses: the moral, the allegorical, and the anagogical. This theory is well known from a passage in Dante, but its roots go back to Saint Paul ("videmus nunc per speculum in aenigmate, tunc autem facie ad faciem"), and it was developed by Saint Jerome, Augustine, Bede, Scotus Erigena, Hugh and Richard of Saint Victor, Alain of Lille, Bonaventure, Aquinas, and others in such a way as to represent a cardinal point of medieval poetics. A work in this sense is undoubtedly endowed with a measure of "openness." The reader of the text knows that every sentence and every trope is "open" to a multiplicity of meanings which he must hunt for and find. Indeed, according to how he feels at one particular moment, the reader might choose a possible interpreta-

will use the work according to the desired meaning (causing it to come alive again, somehow different from the way he viewed it at an earlier reading). However, in this type of operation, "openness" is far removed from meaning "indefiniteness" of communication, "infinite" possibilities of form, and complete freedom of reception. What in fact is made available is a range of rigidly preestablished and ordained interpretative solutions, and these never allow the reader to move outside the strict control of the author. Dante sums up the issue in his thirteenth Letter:

We shall consider the following lines in order to make this type of treatment clearer: In exitu Israel de Egypto, domus Jacob de populo barbaro, facta est Judea sanctificatio eius, Israel potestas eius. Now if we just consider the literal meaning, what is meant here is the departure of the children of Israel from Egypt at the time of Moses. If we consider the allegory, what is meant is our human redemption through Christ. If we consider the moral sense, what is meant is the conversion of the soul from the torment and agony of sin to a state of grace. Finally, if we consider the anagogical sense, what is meant is the release of the spirit from the bondage of this corruption to the freedom of eternal glory.

It is obvious at this point that all available possibilities of interpretation have been exhausted. The reader can concentrate his attention on one sense rather than on another, in the limited space of this four-tiered sentence, but he must always follow rules that entail a rigid univocality. The meaning of allegorical figures and emblems which the medieval reader is likely to encounter is already prescribed by his encyclopedias, bestiaries, and lapidaries. Any symbolism is objectively defined and organized into a system. Underpinning this poetics of the necessary and the univocal is an ordered cosmos, a hierarchy of essences and laws which poetic discourse can clarify at several levels, but which each individual must understand in the only possible way, the one determined by the creative logos. The order of a work of art in this period is a mirror of imperial and theocratic society. The laws governing textual interpretation are the laws of an authoritarian regime which guide the indi-

vidual in his every action, prescribing the ends for him and offering him the means to attain them.

It is not that the *Jour* solutions of the allegorical passage are quantitatively more limited than the *many* possible solutions of a contemporary "open" work. As I shall try to show, it is a different vision of the world which lies under these different aesthetic experiences.

as a conscious theory of the "open work." thetic canons. In fact, it would be rash to interpret Baroque poetics have been codified by modern criticism and organized into aesto quicken his imagination. Nonetheless, even these conclusions sees it as a potential mystery to be solved, a role to fulfill, a stimulus links with experience and which demands to be enjoyed; now he no longer to see the work of art as an object which draws on given pearance: they seek to establish the new man's inventive role. He is on really strain to go further than their apparently Byzantine apfluid state which requires corresponding creativity on his part. The poetic treatises concerning "maraviglia," "wit," "agudezas," and so and finds that he is faced (both in art and in science) by a world in a ifestation of modern culture and sensitivity, it is because here, for the first time, man opts out of the canon of authorized responses stantly new aspects, as if it were in a state of perpetual transformation. Now if Baroque spirituality is to be seen as the first clear manto shift his position continuously in order to see the work in conprivileged, definitive, frontal view; rather, it induces the spectator where the plastic mass in the Baroque work of art never allows a search for kinetic excitement and illusory effect leads to a situation nation); it conveys the idea of space being progressively dilated. Its curvature, its broken surfaces, its widely diversified angles of incliof effect (in its play of solid and void, light and darkness, with its movement. Baroque form is dynamic; it tends to an indeterminacy such a way as to suggest an idea of "essential" eternity rather than cal lines and shut angles which cajole the eye toward the center in ons of space extended round a central axis, closed in by symmetriitiveness of the classical Renaissance form which is denied: the canof Baroque. Here it is precisely the static and unquestionable definwe can find one striking aspect of "openness" in the "open form" If we limit ourselves to a number of cursory historical glimpses,

Between classicism and the Enlightenment, there developed a

Mark Control C

of words, it was a short step to Novalis's view of the pure evocative of creativity. From Burke's declarations about the emotional power the "freedom" of the poet and set the stage for the coming theories the tradition of English empiricism increasingly argued in favor of that general notions and abstract canons fell out of fashion, while power of poetry as an art of blurred sense and vague outlines. An The concept of "pure poetry" gained currency for the very reason further concept which is of interest to us in the present context. cepts, life-views, and attitudes. When a work offers a multitude of as it "allows for a greater interplay and mutual convergence of conidea is now held to be all the more original and stimulating insofar different ways of being understood and appreciated, then under that it is a pure expression of personality."3 these conditions we can only conclude that it is of vital interest and intentions, a plurality of meaning, and above all a wide variety of

open work appears. The moment is late-nineteenth-century Symbolism; the text is Verlaine's Art Poétique: useful to refer to the first occasion when a conscious poetics of the To close our consideration of the Romantic period, it will be

plus vague et plus soluble dans l'air et pour cela préfère l'impair sans rien en lui qui pèse et qui pose De la musique avant toute chose,

and, to that end, prefer the uneven Music before everything else, with nothing in it that is heavy or still more vague and more soluble in air

a single sense from imposing itself at the very outset of the recepsuggest... there is the dream"). The important thing is to prevent object is to suppress three-fourths of the enjoyment of the poem, pronounced in this context: "Nommer un objet c'est supprimer les justments, and spatial composition in the page setting of the poetic tive process. Blank space surrounding a word, typographical adwhich is composed of the pleasure of guessing little by little: to deviner peu à peu: le suggérer . . . voilà le rêve" ("To name an trois quarts de la jouissance du poème, qui est faite du bonheur de Mallarmé's programmatic statement is even more explicit and

> text-all contribute to create a halo of indefiniteness and to make the text pregnant with infinite suggestive possibilities.

and imaginative resources of the interpreter. Whenever we read posuggests is also one that can be performed with the full emotional mirrors the subtler resonances underlying the text. so that he can draw from inside himself some deeper response that since the text sets out to stimulate the private world of the addressee to the emotional world proposed by the text. This is all the more etry there is a process by which we try to adapt our personal world true of poetic works that are deliberately based on suggestiveness, work to the free response of the addressee. An artistic work that This search for suggestiveness is a deliberate move to "open" the

being replaced by a world based on ambiguity, both in the negative tive situations is to be understood in the immediate literal sense. symbol as a communicative channel for the indefinite, open to conof Kafka's symbols cannot exhaust all the possibilities of his works. tentialist, theological, clinical, and psychoanalytic interpretations stantly shifting responses and interpretative stances. It is easy to because values and dogma are constantly being placed in question. sense that directional centers are missing and in a positive sense, it an ordered world based on universally acknowledged laws is the cosmos, to provide a key to the symbolism. The various exis-But, unlike the constructions of medieval allegory, where the sutence, sickness, metamorphosis, and torture—none of these narra-The work remains inexhaustible insofar as it is "open," because in is no confirmation in an encyclopedia, no matching paradigm in perimposed layers of meaning are rigidly prescribed, in Kafka there think of Kafka's work as "open": trial, castle, waiting, passing sen-A strong current in contemporary literature follows this use of

true meaning of a text"). Tindall eventually concludes that a work some of the greatest modern literary works in order to test Valéry's put to any use whatsoever, as he chooses. This type of criticism of art is a construct which anyone at all, including its author, can declaration that "il n'y a pas de vrai sens d'un texte" ("there is no view all modern literature as built upon symbolic patterns. W. Y. determinacy, there is a school of criticism nowadays which tends to symbolist intentions or was aiming at effects of ambivalence or in-Tindall, in his book on the literary symbol, offers an analysis of Even when it is difficult to determine whether a given author had

views the literary work as a continuous potentiality of "openness"—in other words, an indefinite reserve of meanings. This is the scope of the wave of American studies on the structure of metaphor, or of modern work on "types of ambiguity" offered by poetic discourse.4

Clearly, the work of James Joyce is a major example of an "open" mode, since it deliberately seeks to offer an image of the ontological and existential situation of the contemporary world. The "Wandering Rocks" chapter in *Ulysses* amounts to a tiny universe that can be viewed from different perspectives: the last residue of Aristotelian categories has now disappeared. Joyce is not concerned with in which to stage his characters' movements. Edmund Wilson has "Joyce's world is always changing as it is perceived by different observers and by them at different times." servers and by them at different times."

he appears in a striking definition by Pousseur: "Since the phenomof the person listening to postdodecaphonic serial composition as tation. The reader of Finnegans Wake is in a position similar to that selves "open" to new configurations and probabilities of interprecides and interrelates with other local allusions, which are themset up a knot of different submeanings, each of which in turn coinmological roots are combined in such a way that a single word can pun, the calembour, by which two, three, or even ten different etypossible. The principal tool for this all-pervading ambiguity is the cosmos itself. Ambitiously, the author intends his book to imply the totality of space and time, of all spaces and all times that are a certain sense. But this particular "sense" has all the richness of the into the text, it is precisely because he wants the work to be read in that the book lacks specific sense. If Joyce does introduce some keys way we interpret all the other units in the text. This does not mean mantic choice which we make in the case of one unit, so goes the sible relations with all the others in the text. According to the seis unlimited. Each occurrence, each word stands in a series of posnovel. Thus, the work is finite in one sense, but in another sense it on itself, like the Einsteinian universe. The opening word of the first page is the same as the closing word of the last page of the cess of "openness": the book is molded into a curve that bends back In Finnegans Wake we are faced with an even more startling pro-

ena are no longer tied to one another by a term-to-term determination, it is up to the listener to place himself deliberately in the midst of an inexhaustible network of relationships and to choose for himself, so to speak, his own modes of approach, his reference points and his scale, and to endeavor to use as many dimensions as extend to the utmost degree his perceptual faculties," and

audience. In this case the "openness" is converted into an instruticipated, but it must come from the collective enterprise of the ment of revolutionary pedagogics. debate is "open." A solution is seen as desirable and is actually anaudience alike. Here the work is "open" in the same sense that a resolved problems taxing the ingenuity of playwright, actors, and concreteness of an ambiguity in social intercourse, a conflict of unperceived infinitude or an anguish-laden mystery, but the specific although it is no longer the morbid ambiguousness of a halfof ambiguity (typically, and more than any other, his Galileo), what it has seen on stage. Brecht's plays also end in a situation at all. It is up to the audience to draw its own conclusions from ization"), Brecht's plays do not, in the strict sense, devise solutions series of facts to be observed, employing the device of "defamiliarwhich does not seek to influence the audience, but rather to offer a points (by following the well-known technique of epic recitation, sition of specific points of tension. Having presented these tension shall see that dramatic action is conceived as the problematic expoemotional response. In Brecht's theoretical work on drama, we erates only at the level of indefinite suggestion and stimulation of Nor should we imagine that the tendency toward openness op-

In all the phenomena we have so far examined, I have employed the category of "openness" to define widely differing situations, but on the whole the sorts of works taken into consideration are substantially different from the post-Webernian musical composers whom em Symbolist poetics, there has been an ever-sharpening awareness tations. However, the examples considered in the preceding section of the consumer, who must freely interpret an artistic datum, a

product which has already been organized in its structural entirety (even if this structure allows for an indefinite plurality of interpretations). On the other hand, a composition like *Scambi*, by Pousseur, represents a fresh advance. Somebody listening to a work by Webern freely reorganizes and enjoys a series of interrelations inside the context of the sound system offered to him in that particular (already fully produced) composition. But in listening to *Scambi* the auditor is required to do some of this organizing and structuring of the musical discourse. He collaborates with the composer in *making* the composition.

None of this argument should be conceived as passing an aesthetic judgment on the relative validity of the various types of works under consideration. However, it is clear that a composition such as *Scambi* poses a completely new problem. It invites us to identify inside the category of "open" works a further, more restricted classification of works which can be defined as "works in movement," because they characteristically consist of unplanned or physically incomplete structural units.

In the present cultural context, the phenomenon of the "work in movement" is certainly not limited to music. There are, for example, artistic products which display an intrinsic mobility, a kaleidoscopic capacity to suggest themselves in constantly renewed aspects to the consumer. A simple example is provided by Calder's mobiles or by mobile compositions by other artists: elementary structures which can move in the air and assume different spatial dispositions. They continuously create their own space and the shapes to fill it.

If we turn to literary production to try to isolate an example of a "work in movement," we are immediately obliged to take into consideration Mallarmé's *Livre*, a colossal and far-reaching work, the quintessence of the poet's production. He conceived it as the work which would constitute not only the goal of his activities but also the end goal of the world: "Le monde existe pour aboutir à un livre." Mallarmé never finished the book, although he worked on it at different periods throughout his life. But there are sketches for the ending which have recently been brought to light by the acute philological research of Jacques Schérer.7

The metaphysical premises for Mallarmé's Livre are enormous and possibly questionable. I would prefer to leave them aside in

order to concentrate on the dynamic structure of this artistic object which deliberately set out to validate a specific poetic principle: "Un livre ni commence ni ne finit; tout au plus fait-il semblant." The Livre was conceived as a mobile apparatus, not just in the mobile and "open" sense of a composition such as Un coup de dès, where grammar, syntax, and typesetting introduced a plurality of elements, polymorphous in their indeterminate relation to each other.

However, Mallarmé's immense enterprise was utopian: it was embroidered with evermore disconcerting aspirations and ingenuities, and it is not surprising that it was never brought to completion. We do not know whether, had the work been completed, the whole project would have had any real value. It might well have turned out to be a dubious mystical and esoteric incarnation of a decadent sensitivity that had reached the extreme point of its creative parabola. I am inclined to this second view, but it is certainly interesting to find at the very threshold of the modern period such a vigorous program for a work in movement, and this is a sign that certain intellectual currents circulate imperceptibly until they are adopted and justified as cultural data which have to be organically integrated into the panorama of a whole period.

ing interest in a psychology of impression and sensation—in short appearance of architectural and pictorial products. It reflects the riscomes to prevail) and attention is shifted from the essence to the roque mark, in fact, the advent of a new scientific awareness: the of necessity, a deductive consciousness by means of which reality of meter and rhymes) simply reflects the syllogistic system, a logic tric and necessary apparatus (incorporating a rigid internal pattern ordained orders. The work as a pedagogical vehicle, as a monocentactile is replaced by the visual (meaning that the subjective element first principles of reality. The openness and dynamism of the Baprinciples of science which were seen as one and the same with the tions, moving forward in a single direction, proceeding from first could be made manifest step by step without unforeseen interrupflected the conception of the cosmos as a hierarchy of fixed, prethe way in which science or contemporary culture views reality. The closed, single conception in a work by a medieval artist re-In every century, the way that artistic forms are structured reflects

constantly renewed contact with reality. tion of the world. It shares in a general urge toward discovery and infinite. It refuses to be hemmed in by any ideal normative concepwhole construct expands toward a totality which is close to the ponent parts are all endowed with equal value and dignity, and the architecture and in Baroque pictorial production, the various commetaphysical constructs. In the modern scientific universe, as in definitively eliminated the notion of geocentricity and its allied were in fact mirroring the Copernican vision of the universe. This other hand, by giving up the essential focus of the composition and the prescribed point of view for its viewer, aesthetic innovations stance into a series of subjective perceptions by the viewer. On the an empiricism which converts the Aristotelian concept of real sub-

modern, non-Euclidean geometries. spondingly smaller units which were also mobile and reducible. could express new perspectives by being deconstructed into corre-This project obviously suggests the universe as it is conceived by initial unit into sections which could be reformulated and which sional, deconstructible book envisaged the breaking down of the tas. For example, one of Mallarmé's projects for a multidimenstrain of Symbolism reflects a cultural striving to unfold new vis-In its own way, the "openness" that we meet in the decadent

science; the discarding of a static, syllogistic view of order, and a ical canon which reflects a widespread tendency in contemporary dynamism of structure. The notion of "possibility" is a philosophrigid, one-directional system: now a complex interplay of motive of the classic relationship posited between cause and effect as a forces is envisaged, a configuration of possible events, a complete notion of "field" is provided by physics and implies a revised vision tremely revealing technical terms from contemporary culture. The bilities." In fact, this shows that he is prepared to borrow two exnition of his musical work which involves the term "field of possithe universe in Joyce's works. Pousseur has offered a tentative defispatiotemporal continuum in order to account for the structure of thought. For example, it is a critical commonplace to refer to the more or less specific overtones of trends in contemporary scientific "open" work—and even less so in the "work in movement"— Hence, it is not overambitious to detect in the poetics of the

> cision, choice, and social context. corresponding devolution of intellectual authority to personal de-

and also as the verifiable pattern of events in the subatomic world. tion, but as an essential stage in all scientific verification procedures contemporary physics recognizes, not as an element of disorientathe performer's freedom functions as part of the discontinuity which stripped of necessary and foreseeable conclusions, works in which of the open work is peculiarly relevant: it posits the work of art nitive process. In this general intellectual atmosphere, the poetics of incorporating indeterminacy as a valid stepping-stone in the cogvalue logics are now gaining currency, and these are quite capable longer the only instrument of philosophical experiment. Multiof a general breakdown in the concept of causation. The two-value lemma between true and false, a fact and its contradictory, is no truth logic which follows the classical aut-aut, the disjunctive didiscourse from what has physically preceded them, this is just part listener to infer the next steps in the arrangement of the musical diately following one, if there is no tonal basis which allows the If a musical pattern no longer necessarily determines the imme-

solutions which the work may admit. ing version of the work, but at the same time makes it incomplete we can say that every performance offers us a complete and satisfyfor us, because it cannot simultaneously give all the other artistic mentary to all possible other performances of the work. In short, performance makes the work an actuality, but is itself only compleformance explains the composition but does not exhaust it. Every work of art as divorced from its ultimate definition. Every perhave considered, there is a tendency to see every execution of the From Mallarmé's Livre to the musical compositions which we

tary, 8 Perhaps we are in a position to state that for these works of feature in its formulation. Hence one could argue, with Bohr, that art an incomplete knowledge of the system is in fact an essential they contradict one another, they are therefore also complemenent behavior patterns, different models, which Heisenberg has deof an elementary particle simultaneously. To describe these differfined as adequate when properly utilized, are put to use, but, since rules that it is not possible to indicate the different behavior patterns same period as the physicists' principle of complementarity, which Perhaps it is no accident that these poetic systems emerge at the

ities of information.9 since only the sum of all the phenomena could exhaust the possibilgathered in one image but should be considered as complementary, the data collected in the course of experimental situations cannot be

conceive the world in a fresh dynamics of potentiality before the conventional epistemological stances and that allow the observer to fixative process of habit and familiarity comes into play. Husserl dicates the availability of new cognitive positions that fall short of phenomenology use the term "perceptive ambiguities," which intion and problematic construct. Again, modern psychology and Above I discussed the principle of ambiguity as moral disposi-

another, or by taking a step forward or sideways, and so forth. 10 tion of his perception, by turning his eyes one way instead of a person might experience by changing deliberately the directhe perceptive process. Moreover, perception itself includes hoous projection which takes on a new meaning with each phase of the succeeding perception. This process is similar to a continunonintuitive manner and are expected to become elements of unperceived sides which, at the present, are viewed only in a which are actually perceived suggest to the viewer's attention the with other states, and also with its own phases of duration . . . which varies with the modification of its connections together each state of consciousness implies the existence of a horizon rizons which encompass other perceptive possibilities, such as In each external perception, for instance, the sides of the objects

every moment of our cognitive experience. It means that each phewhich locates the infinite at the very core of the finite. This sort of appearance is replaced by a straight polarity of finite and infinite "openness" is at the heart of every act of perception. It characterizes is a member. In this way the traditional dualism between being and total series of which, by virtue of being one possible apparition, it tung. In order to be defined, the object must be related back to the different points of view are available by way of the same Abschatdoes an object present different Abschattungen (or profiles), but also stand in relationship with a continuously altering subject. Not only given series of manifestations, because each of these is bound to Sartre notes that the existent object can never be reduced to a

> to its ontological basis is altered by the perspective of perceptive nomenon seems to be "inhabited" by a certain power-in other manifestations." The problem of the relationship of a phenomenon different-order perceptions which we can derive from it. 11 "openness" to the problem of its relationship to the multiplicity of words, "the ability to manifest itself by a series of real or likely

This intellectual position is further accentuated in Merleau-

own horizons . . . The contradiction which we feel exists besince each of them sends back to other perspectives through its sible by the very nature of the perspectives to be connected, complete synthesis. Its completion, however, is made imposthings and in the world can only express the assumption of a it and the horizons remain forever open? . . . The belief in since none of the views or perceptions I have of it can exhaust world, as I would of an individual actuating his own existence, sis is never completed? How could I gain the experience of the How can anything ever present itself truly to us since its syntheness, which is commonly taken as an extremely enlightened region, is, on the contrary, the very region of indeterminain that of consciousness; it is its very definition . . . Consciousdoes not represent an imperfection in the nature of existence or its commitment to a field of presence. This ambiguousness the one that exists between the ubiquity of consciousness and tween the world's reality and its incompleteness is identical to

activity in the world of forms: "It is therefore essential for an object at the very heart of our existential situation. It proposes to the artas always promising future perceptions." 13 and also for the world to present themselves to us as 'open' . . . and declarations which are bound to act as a stimulus to his creative ist, as well as to the philosopher and the psychologist, a series of These are the sorts of problems which phenomenology picks out

in harmony with modern science, as expressing the positive possibiguous and the indeterminate reflect a crisis of contemporary civbility of thought and action made available to an individual who is ilization. On the other hand, we might see these poetical systems, the old, solid concept of necessity and the tendency toward the am-It would be quite natural for us to think that this flight away from

open to the continuous renewal of his life patterns and cognitive processes. Such an individual is productively committed to the development of his own mental faculties and experiential horizons. This contrast is too facile and Manichaean. Our main intent has been to pick out a number of analogies which reveal a reciprocal play of problems in the most disparate areas of contemporary culture and which point to the common elements in a new way of looking at the world.

What is at stake is a convergence of new canons and requirements which the forms of art reflect by way of what we could term structural homologies. This need not commit us to assembling a rigorous parallelism—it is simply a case of phenomena like the "work in movement" simultaneously reflecting mutually contrasted epistenonciled. Thus, the concepts of "openness" and dynamism may continuity. But at the same time they also exemplify a number of situations in Einsteinian physics.

establish once and for all the relativity of empirical measurement. objective side of the whole system can be found in the invariance of sible ways of measuring things and viewing their position. But the the simple formal descriptions (of the differential equations) which variability of experience as well as the infinite multiplication of posthe Divinity of Spinoza, who rules the world according to perfectly regulated laws. In this kind of universe, relativity means the infinite words, presuppose not a God playing random games with dice but upset us with their surprise apparitions, but in fact, to use Einstein's universe in which discontinuity and indeterminacy can admittedly temology is precisely this faith in the totality of the universe, a guishes the Einsteinian concept of the universe from quantum episof the universe which we owe to Einstein. The thing which distintiple polarity is extremely close to the spatiotemporal conception perspectives are equally valid and rich in potential. Now, this mulcontinuum. Here are no privileged points of view, and all available ships. 14 He must allow such a center to emerge from the sound requires him to constitute his own system of auditory relationlistener is not faced by an absolute conditioning center of reference, The multiple polarity of a serial composition in music, where the

This is not the place to pass judgment on the scientific validity of the metaphysical construct implied by Einstein's system. But there is a striking analogy between his universe and the universe of the work in movement. The God in Spinoza, who is made into an untestable hypothesis by Einsteinian metaphysics, becomes a cogent reality for the work of art and matches the organizing impulse of its creator.

The possibilities which the work's openness makes available always work within a given field of relations. As in the Einsteinian universe, in the "work in movement" we may well deny that there is a single prescribed point of view. But this does not mean complete chaos in its internal relations. What it does imply is an organizing rule which governs these relations. Therefore, to sum up, we can say that the "work in movement" is the possibility of numerous different personal interventions, but it is not an amorphous invitation to indiscriminate participation. The invitation offers the performer the opportunity for an oriented insertion into something which always remains the world intended by the author.

In other words, the author offers the interpreter, the performer, the addressee a work to be completed. He does not know the exact fashion in which his work will be concluded, but he is aware that once completed the work in question will still be his own. It will not be a different work, and, at the end of the interpretative dialogue, a form which is his form will have been organized, even though it may have been assembled by an outside party in a particular way that he could not have foreseen. The author is the one rationally organized, oriented, and endowed with specifications for proper development.

Berio's Sequence, which is played by different flutists, Stockhausen's Klavierstück XI, or Pousseur's Mobiles, which are played by different pianists (or performed twice over by the same pianists), will never be quite the same on different occasions. Yet they will never be gratuitously different. They are to be seen as the actualization of a series of consequences whose premises are firmly rooted in the original data provided by the author.

This happens in the musical works which we have already examined, and it happens also in the plastic artifacts we considered. The common factor is a mutability which is always deployed

The second secon

within the specific limits of a given taste, or of predetermined formal tendencies, and is authorized by the concrete pliability of the material offered for the performer's manipulation. Brecht's plays appear to elicit free and arbitrary response on the part of the audience. Yet they are also rhetorically constructed in such a way as to elicit a reaction oriented toward, and ultimately anticipating, a Marxist dialectic logic as the basis for the whole field of possible responses.

All these examples of "open" works and "works in movement" have this latent characteristic, which guarantees that they will always be seen as "works" and not just as a conglomeration of random components ready to emerge from the chaos in which they previously stood and permitted to assume any form whatsoever.

Now, a dictionary clearly presents us with thousands upon thousands of words which we could freely use to compose poetry, esthe dictionary is clearly open to the reconstitution of its raw material in any way that the manipulator wishes. But this does not make consist in factors which make it susceptible to a whole range of they graft into the structural vitality which the work work integrations. They provide it with organic complements which sesses, even if it is incomplete. This structural vitality is still seen as of different conclusions and solutions for it.

The preceding observations are necessary because, when we speak of a work of art, our Western aesthetic tradition forces us to take "work" in the sense of a personal production which may well vary ent identity of its own and which displays the personal imprint that Aesthetic theory is quite content to conceive of a variety of different sarily dogmatic or sub specie aeternitatis, which are capable of applyvariety of experiences, which can range from the Divine Comedy to, sonic components.

We have, therefore, seen that (1) "open" works, insofar as they are in movement, are characterized by the invitation to make the work together with the author and that (2) on a wider level (as a subgenus in the species "work in movement") there exist works which, though organically completed, are "open" to a continuous generation of internal relations which the addressee must uncover and select in his act of perceiving the totality of incoming stimuli. (3) Every work of art, even though it is produced by following an explicit or implicit poetics of necessity, is effectively open to a virtually unlimited range of possible readings, each of which causes the work to acquire new vitality in terms of one particular taste, or perspective, or personal performance.

Contemporary aesthetics has frequently pointed out this last characteristic of every work of art. According to Luigi Pareyson:

of view capable of grasping and proposing the work in all its work in a new light if it is prepared to wait for the right point single aspect of the work can only reveal the totality of the of view is capable of revealing the whole work only if it grasps other by a reciprocal process, in such a way that a given point it in the relevant, highly personalized aspect. Analogously, a teract with each other, come into juxtaposition and clarify each in that of the work to be performed . . . The infinite points of view of the performers and the infinite aspects of the work ingiven perspective. So the variety of performances is founded are not just "parts" or fragments of it, because each of them both in the complex factor of the performer's individuality and contains the totality of the work, and reveals it according to a been concluded; or we can see it as an infinite contained within finiteness . . . The work therefore has infinite aspects, which The work of art . . . is a form, namely of movement, that has

The foregoing allows Pareyson to move on to the assertion that

all performances are definitive in the sense that each one is for the performer, tantamount to the work itself; equally, all performances are bound to be provisional in the sense that each performer knows that he must always try to deepen his own interpretation of the work. Insofar as they are definitive, these

interpretations are parallel, and each of them is such as to exclude the others without in any way negating them. 15

product, independently of the operative criteria which presided over its moment of inception. the latent possibilities of a certain type of experience in every artistic the fundamental demands of contemporary culture, it also reveals matic projects for creation. While aesthetics brings to light one of formulate definitions and the practical level of poetics as programlevel of aesthetics as a philosophical discipline which attempts to that it now appears that every discovery has already been made by by everything else, from the beginning of time, in the same way the Chinese. Here we have to distinguish between the theoretical because everything in the world is already implied and subsumed "works in movement" adds absolutely nothing to our experience Yet this does not mean that the existence of "open" works and of etics of the "open work" in a more decisive and explicit fashion. type of artistic production, are the same as those posed by the pothese requirements, which aesthetics has referred widely to every whole notion of "openness" and sought to expand it. In a sense now is the period when aesthetics has paid especial attention to the works throughout the ages. But it is useful to have underlined that This doctrine can be applied to all artistic phenomena and to art-

The poetic theory or practice of the "work in movement" senses consciously to current trends in scientific method and puts into action and tangible form the very trend which aesthetics has already poetic systems recognize "openness" as the fundamental possibility in his turn, will see a confirmation of his own intuitions in these a receptive mode which can function at many different levels of intensity.

Certainly this new receptive mode vis-à-vis the work of art opens up a much vaster phase in culture and in this sense is not intellectually confined to the problems of aesthetics. The poetics of the "work in movement" (and partly that of the "open" work) sets in motion a new cycle of relations between the artist and his audi-

ence, a new mechanics of aesthetic perception, a different status for the artistic product in contemporary society. It opens a new page in sociology and in pedagogy, as well as a new chapter in the history of art. It poses new practical problems by organizing new communicative situations. In short, it installs a new relationship between the contemplation and the utilization of a work of art.

Seen in these terms and against the background of historical influences and cultural interplay which links art by analogy to widely diversified aspects of the contemporary worldview, the situation of art has now become a situation in the process of development. Far from being fully accounted for and catalogued, it deploys and poses problems in several dimensions. In short, it is an "open" situation, in movement. A work in progress.